

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/824,575		04/03/2001	Ellen M. Beasley	CL000998	8695		
25748	7590	02/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CELERA C			DANG, IAN D				
45 WEST G		NTGOMERY, VIC IVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
C2-4#20			1647				
ROCKVILL	E, MD	20850	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/824,57	09/824,575		BEASLEY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		lan Dang		1647					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	Idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evecation. ory period will apply and wi , by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATI int, however, may a reply be Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fri ication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this c NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .							
		M This action is n	on-final.		•				
/	Since this application is in condition for			prosecution as to the	e merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
=	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election req	uirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner							
•			objected to by th	e Examiner.					
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		• •						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		ived in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internationa	•	• • •						
* 3	See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certi	ned copies not rece	ıvea.					
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	)-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai						
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/824,575

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTIONS**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1, 2, 20, and 21 are drawn to an amino acid sequence, classified in class 530, subclass, 350.
- II. Claim 3 is drawn to an antibody classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- III. Claims 4, 5, 8-11, 22, and 23 are drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- IV. Claim 6 is drawn to a gene chip, classified in class 436, subclass 6.
- V. Claim 7, drawn to a transgenic non-human animal, classified in class 800, subclass 21.
- VI. Claim 12 is drawn to a method for detecting the presence of peptides, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VII. Claim 13 is drawn to a method for detecting the presence of a nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VIII. Claims 14, 15, 19 are drawn to a method for identifying a modulator of a peptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- IX. Claim 16 is drawn to a method for identifying an agent that binds to peptides, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- X. Claim 17 is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- XI. Claim 18 is drawn to a method for treating a disease or condition mediated by a human transporter protein, classified in class 514, subclass 12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-V and X are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function.

The methods of groups VI-IX and XI can be shown to be distinct as they each have different starting materials, method steps, and/or goals. Each of the methods can be shown to be distinct from the each of the products in that the product is either not used by the method or can be used in multiple methods.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP 821.04.

Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the even of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1647

103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues.

See MPEP 804.01

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1647

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-5014. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

---

MARIANNE P. ALLEN
PRIMARY EXAMPLES

MARIANNE P. ALLEN
PRIMARY EXAMPLES

2/2/06